Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,983	TANIOKA, HIR	TANIOKA, HIROSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Amelia Rutledge	2176		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):			
(1) <u>Amelia Rutledge - USPTO</u> .	(3)			
(2) Frank Cire - applicant's representative.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 24 January 2007.	•			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's repres	entative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		·		
Identification of prior art discussed: Miyaza, McQueen.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached.	h)  N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>Compared the features</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendment			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTrequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	he last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR T NTERVIEW SUMMARY F	already been filed, API THIRTY DAYS FROM FORM, WHICHEVER I	PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO	
			•	
	•			
	•			
	•	•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required		